Development of climate of the upper atmosphere and ionosphere and the role of Sun
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VarSITI - ROSMIC WGs 3 and 4
The greenhouse gas increase has a cooling effect in the upper atmosphere. Greenhouse gases in the troposphere are optically thick to outgoing longwave (infrared) radiation, which they both absorb and reemit back to the surface to produce the heating effect. In contrast, greenhouse gases in the much lower density upper atmosphere are optically thin to outgoing infrared radiation. In-situ collisional excitation results in atmospheric thermal energy readily lost to space via outgoing infrared radiation (CO$_2$ and NO are the two main “coolers” of the thermosphere), while the absorption of radiation emanating from the lower atmosphere plays only a secondary role in the energy balance. The net result is that the radiatively active greenhouse gases act as cooling agents, and their increasing concentrations enhance the cooling effect in the upper atmosphere.
Different drivers of long-term trends

Potential drivers of climatic change (= long-term trends) in the upper atmosphere and ionosphere are long-term changes of:

- **greenhouse gases** (**CO\textsubscript{2}**) – main driver
- solar activity
- **geomagnetic activity** (solar wind)
- secular changes of the Earth’s magnetic field
- ozone, namely stratospheric ozone
- activity of atmospheric waves
- water vapor

=> Trends need not be stable, they can change in time and space.
Vertical profiles of **absolute trends** (left panel) and **relative trends** (right panel, %/decade) of CO$_2$ VMR in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere – SABER red, ACE-FTS black, model SD-WACCM blue. Shaded areas and error bars - 2σ uncertainty. Yue et al. (2015).

**Observational trends of CO$_2$ in 80-110 km are evidently stronger than model trends**
Summary of consistent mesospheric, thermospheric and ionospheric trends, which form the global pattern/scenario based on historical data.

The scenario is qualitatively consistent with expected effect of greenhouse gases.
Trends in foF2 critically depend on local time and season. They are close to no trend at night and in summer daytime and they are negative and not quite small in winter daytime, because hmF2 is close to the boundary where a positive anthropogenic trend in electron density below changes to a negative trend above according to model calculations (Qian et al., 2008). Therefore foF2 is not a good parameter for studying trends of anthropogenic origin.

Solar activity

Since maximum in 1957/58 solar activity appears to have decreased moderately during the second half of the 20th century, and rapidly in the 21st century, which is a **trend opposite to what is required to explain the observed predominantly positive ionospheric trends in foE and foF1**. Moreover, the effect of solar activity, on solar cycle time scales, is removed when long-term trends are computed both in the ionosphere and thermosphere. Different corrections to solar activity are one of the sources of differences between different trend results in F2-region parameters, foF2 and hmF2. On the long time-scales like more than four solar cycles (1959-2005), when the effect of solar activity was not removed, it explained 5-40% of total trends in hmF2 and **20-80% in foF2** for various ionospheric stations (Cnossen and Frantzke, 2014).
Solar activity

\[ \text{foF}_2 \text{ (MHz)} = A + B \times \text{time (year-1994)} + C \times \text{F10.7} \]

Simple model surprisingly well describes yearly values of \( \text{foF}_2 \) for Pruhonice (50N, 15E) except for 2008 and 2009 – F10.7 does not describe too low EUV flux in these two years.

This is one of the reasons why we do not have yet reliable information about trends in TEC (for GNSS).
Solar activity


The problem with non-representability of F10.7 in the last solar cycle minimum is even more severe for hmF2. However, we do not know the level of solar EUV flux in this minimum.
Indirect effect of solar activity + Impact of change of CO₂ trends on models

Neutral density trends at 400 km. Crosses – model calculations, middle curve are new calculations with model WACCM and new realistic profile of CO₂ concentration. Other symbols – observational trends from satellite drag measurements. Adopted from Solomon et al. (2015).

Indirect solar cycle effect is due to a higher role of CO₂ in solar minimum.

Model calculations with new CO₂ trends fit observations evidently better than old calculations.
Long-term change of geomagnetic activity means its increase almost throughout the 20th century followed by a deep drop in the 21st century. In the 20th century the role of geomagnetic activity in the observed long-term trends/changes in the atmosphere-ionosphere system was decreasing from its beginning towards its end (Lastovicka, 2005). Mikhailov and de la Morena [11] found that trends in foE were controlled by geomagnetic activity before about 1970, but not in more recent years. Next slight based on results of A. Mikhailov summarizes effects of geomagnetic activity on ionospheric trends over Europe.
Effect of geomagnetic activity on ionospheric trends

Relationships between $\delta f_{oF2}$ (top panels), $\delta f_{oF1}$ (middle panels) and $\delta f_{oE}$ (bottom panels), and Ap132 variations for Slough (left panels) and Rome (right panels).

Past geomagnetic activity control of trends was lost in ~1970 in $f_{oE}$, in the early 1990s in $f_{oF1}$ and in ~2000 in $f_{oF2}$. 
Secular change of Earth’s magnetic field

TIE-GCM model simulation of effect of changes of the Earth’s magnetic field in \( hmF2 \) (difference between 1997 and 1957 in km) at day 80 (top) and day 172 (bottom), 00 UT (left) and 12 UT (right). After Cnossen and Richmond (2008).

Impact on \( hmF2 \) is evidently very regional.
Conclusions

1. There is a global scenario of long-term trends in the mesosphere, thermosphere and ionosphere but with some gaps.
2. CO\textsubscript{2} is the main driver of trends but there are important secondary drivers.
3. Solar activity could affect particularly trends in the ionosphere quite significantly but after removal of the solar cycle influence its effect becomes (very) small. There is also an indirect effect of solar activity pronounced in thermospheric density.
4. Geomagnetic activity was probably of primary importance for ionospheric trends in the past; now its role in trends is rather small.